

**RESOLUTION #2022-132 State Environmental Quality Review Act Notice of Determination of Non-Significance, Negative Declaration made pursuant to New York State Environmental Conservation Law, Article 8** was offered by Supervisor Horton at the regular monthly meeting of the Caroga Town Board held on Wednesday September 14, 2022

**WHEREAS**, The Town of Caroga (the “Town”), proposes the construction of a metal frame and siding, butler style building, approximately 4800 square foot building for a Highway Garage, (“Project A”) at 1913 State Highway 10 in the Town of Caroga; and

**WHEREAS**, the Town, proposes the construction of a new approximately 1,200 square foot galvanized steel truss and fabric salt/sand storage shed which will be built on concrete blocks (“Project B”) at 1913 State Highway 10 in the Town of Caroga;

**WHEREAS**, the Town, proposes the relocation and reconstruction of an existing, approximately 3,360 square foot post and beam building with metal roof and siding, approximately 40 feet from its current location, which will include pouring a foundation and reconstructing the building in the new location (“Project C”) at 1795 Route 10 in the Town of Caroga; and

**WHEREAS**, Project A, Project B, and Project C (collectively the “Projects” or the “Proposed Action”) are permitted uses in the Town; and

**WHEREAS**, the Projects were considered together as a single Proposed Action for purposes of review under the State Environmental Quality Review Act (“SEQRA”), although each Project will serve a separate and distinct purpose and Project C is located on a different property; and

**WHEREAS**, the Proposed Action is an Unlisted action for purposes of SEQRA and the Town has conducted a SEQRA review of the Projects; and

**WHEREAS**, Parts 1, 2 and 3 of the short environmental assessment form (“EAF”) were completed by the Town, which are made a part of this Resolution; and

**WHEREAS**, the Town has duly considered the Projects using the EAF, the criteria for determining significance as set forth in 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c) of the SEQRA regulations, and such other information deemed appropriate;

**WHEREAS**, the Town has identified the relevant areas of environmental concern, has taken a hard look at these areas, and has made a reasoned elaboration, as necessary, for the basis of its determination,

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the Town Board that:

1. The Projects will not result in any large and important impacts, and, therefore, it is an action which will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment; for this and the reasons included in the attached EAF, the Board issues the attached **negative declaration of environmental non-significance for the reasons stated therein**.

1. The Town Clerk shall file a copy of the negative declaration in the file for the Projects.
2. The Town Clerk shall prepare and file the negative declaration with all required New York State, County, and local agencies.
3. This Resolution shall take effect immediately.

The motion was seconded by Council Member Glenn

It was noted that the State Environmental Quality Review Act has to be completed first. Council Member DeLuca asked if the SEQR form was for all three projects. Supervisor Horton stated that was correct. Council Member DeLuca wondered if as the Town of Caroga, we don't have to go to zoning or planning for any of these projects. Supervisor Horton stated this is a SEQR, an environmental assessment form that all major projects have to go through. Council Member DeLuca pointed out certain questions on the SEQR that ask if the project being proposed is a permitted use under the zoning regulations. Supervisor Horton stated "this has nothing to do with that." Supervisor Horton stated this was a standardized form. Nothing can be changed on this. Council Member DeLuca reads will the proposed action result in the change in the use or intensity on use of the land. Supervisor Horton stated the land is used for the screen plant. It's got to do with significant. Council Member DeLuca questioned the word significance. Supervisor Horton stated the project site is 8 acres. The salt shed is less than  $\frac{1}{2}$  acre and the disturbance of the highway garage is less than  $\frac{1}{2}$  acres. That is not considered significant. Supervisor Horton compared this project to the building going on at Sherman's.

AYES

Supervisor Horton  
Council Member Glenn  
Council Member DeLuca  
Council Member Sturgess  
Council Member Travis

NAYS

\*Note the resolution for the SEQRA Notice of determination from the bond council were not accurate and were corrected prior to adoption.

The foregoing resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.